Arguments for the Plaintiff (Purple Individual):

Comparable to Prohibitions Against Color-Based Discrimination: Drawing from the 2009 and 2020 precedents against discrimination based on color (reds and greens), the plaintiff's lawyer could argue that discriminating against a purple individual falls under similar unlawful color-based discrimination.

Constitutional and Statutory Interpretation: Utilizing the chapter's emphasis on the interpretation of statutes and the judicial system's hierarchy, the argument could be framed within the broader context of constitutional rights and equal protection clauses, suggesting that such discrimination violates fundamental legal principles.

FIRAC Framework Application: The lawyer can structure their argument using the FIRAC (Facts, Issue, Relevant law, Application of the law, Conclusion) framework, systematically presenting the case and highlighting how existing laws and precedents support the plaintiff’s position.

Arguments for the Defense (Opposing Lawyer):

Distinguishing from Other Color-Based Discrimination Cases: The defense might argue that the circumstances surrounding purple individuals are distinct from the reds and greens cases, perhaps suggesting that the exclusion is not based on color but on other factors, as was found acceptable in the 2014 precedent regarding squares.

Ambiguity in Legal Interpretation: Leveraging the chapter’s discussion on statutory interpretation and common law, the defense might point out ambiguities in laws regarding color-based discrimination, arguing that the existing statutes do not explicitly cover purple individuals.

Precedents and Legal Resources: Using primary and secondary legal resources, the defense could search for cases or legal opinions that support a narrower interpretation of discrimination laws, possibly focusing on the specific wording and intent of the statutes.